



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/938,746	08/23/2001	Gabriela Chiosis	64987/JPW/GJG	4095
75	90 12/26/2002			
Cooper & Dunham LLP			EXAMINER	
1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036			WEDDINGTON, KEVIN E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	
			DATE MAILED: 12/26/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/938,746**

Applicant(s)

Chiosis et al.

Examiner

Kevin E. Weddington

Art Unit

1614



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In					
mailing date of this communication					
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status					
1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 9, 20	02				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) X This act	ion is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance eclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) X Claim(s) 1-4, 6-23, 25-34, 42, 61, and 71-109	is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
6) 🗓 Claim(s) <u>1-4, 6-23, 25-34, 42, 61, and 71-109</u>	is/are rejected.				
7)	is/are objected to.				
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	ner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) \square Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:					
1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.				
2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of th					
 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisions 					
15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic					
Attachment(s)	priority and of order 33 (20 and of 12)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4} Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) 💢 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)3	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/938,746

Art Unit: 1614

CLAIMS 1-4, 6-23, 25-34, 42, 61 AND 71-109 ARE PRESENTED FOR EXAMINATION.

APPLICANTS' PRELIMINARY AMENDMENTS FILED AUGUST 23, 2001 AND FEBRUARY 11, 2002 HAVE BEEN RECEIVED AND ENTERED.

APPLICANTS' ELECTION FILED SEPTEMBER 9, 2002 IN RESPONSE TO THE RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT OF JULY 5, 2002 HAS BEEN RECEIVED AND ENTERED. THE APPLICANTS ELECTED THE INVENTION DESCRIBED IN CLAIMS 1-4, 6-23, 25-34, 42, 61, 72-78, 83-85 AND 87-102 (GROUP I) WITH TRAVERSE.

APPLICANTS' TRAVERSE OF THE RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT IS FOUND PERSUASIVE,
THEREFORE, GROUPS I AND II CAN BE SEARCHED TOGETHER.

SINCE THE APPLICANTS' ELECTED AGENT OF FORMULA S-PRO-CN CANNOT BE SEARCHED BECAUSE THE "N" VALUE IS MISSING. THE OTHER AGENT AS DISCLOSED IN CLAIM 86 AND 103 WILL BE SEARCHED.

CLAIM REJECTIONS - 35 U.S.C. § 112

CLAIMS 4, 23, 85 AND 102 ARE REJECTED UNDER 35 U.S.C. 112, FIRST PARAGRAPH, AS CONTAINING SUBJECT MATTER WHICH WAS NOT DESCRIBED IN THE SPECIFICATION IN SUCH A WAY AS TO ENABLE ONE SKILLED IN THE ART TO WHICH IT PERTAINS, OR WITH WHICH IT IS MOST NEARLY CONNECTED, TO MAKE AND/OR USE THE INVENTION.

Application/Control Number: 09/938,746

Page 3

Art Unit: 1614

APPLICANTS' SPECIFICATION DOES NOT DISCLOSE THE "N" VALUE IN THE FORMULA S-PRO-CN

CLAIMS 4, 23, 71, 85 AND 102 ARE REJECTED UNDER 35 U.S.C. 112, SECOND PARAGRAPH, AS BEING INDEFINITE FOR FAILING TO PARTICULARLY POINT OUT AND DISTINCTLY CLAIM THE SUBJECT MATTER WHICH APPLICANT REGARDS AS THE INVENTION.

CLAIMS 4, 23, 85 AND I O2 ARE RENDERED VAGUE AND INDEFINITE BECAUSE THE APPLICANTS DID NOT DISCLOSE THE MEANING OF THE FORMULA S-PRO-CN. THE APPLICANTS DID NOT DISCLOSE WHAT IS MEANT BY "PRO" AND THE APPLICANTS DID NOT DISCLOSE THE "N" VALUE TOO. CLAIM 7 I IS RENDERED INDEFINITE BECAUSE THE CLAIM DEPENDS UPON CANCELED CLAIM 69.

CLAIM REJECTIONS - 35 U.S.C. § 103

THE FOLLOWING IS A QUOTATION OF 35 U.S.C. I O3(A) WHICH FORMS THE BASIS FOR ALL OBVIOUSNESS REJECTIONS SET FORTH IN THIS OFFICE ACTION:

(A) A PATENT MAY NOT BE OBTAINED THOUGH THE INVENTION IS NOT IDENTICALLY DISCLOSED OR DESCRIBED AS SET FORTH IN SECTION I O2 OF THIS TITLE, IF THE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE SUBJECT MATTER SOUGHT TO BE PATENTED AND THE PRIOR ART ARE SUCH THAT THE SUBJECT MATTER AS A WHOLE WOULD HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS AT THE TIME THE INVENTION WAS MADE TO A PERSON HAVING ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART TO WHICH SAID SUBJECT MATTER PERTAINS. PATENTABILITY SHALL NOT BE NEGATIVED BY THE MANNER IN WHICH THE INVENTION WAS MADE.

THIS APPLICATION CURRENTLY NAMES JOINT INVENTORS. IN CONSIDERING

PATENTABILITY OF THE CLAIMS UNDER 35 U.S.C. I O3(A), THE EXAMINER PRESUMES THAT

THE SUBJECT MATTER OF THE VARIOUS CLAIMS WAS COMMONLY OWNED AT THE TIME ANY

Application/Control Number: 09/938,746

Art Unit: 1614

INVENTIONS COVERED THEREIN WERE MADE ABSENT ANY EVIDENCE TO THE CONTRARY.

APPLICANT IS ADVISED OF THE OBLIGATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.56 TO POINT OUT THE

INVENTOR AND INVENTION DATES OF EACH CLAIM THAT WAS NOT COMMONLY OWNED AT THE

TIME A LATER INVENTION WAS MADE IN ORDER FOR THE EXAMINER TO CONSIDER THE

APPLICABILITY OF 35 U.S.C. 103© AND POTENTIAL 35 U.S.C. 102(E), (F) OR (G)

PRIOR ART UNDER 35 U.S.C. 103(A).

CLAIMS 1-3, 6-22, 25-34, 61, 72-101 AND 103-109 ARE REJECTED

UNDER 35 U.S.C. 103(a) AS BEING UNPATENTABLE OVER MCCORMICK ET AL. (A) AND

STACK ET AL. (B) IN VIEW OF FRASER ET AL. (C).

McCormick et al. teach vancomycin as a well-known antibiotic to treat infection caused by various gram-positive bacteria (see table I of column I O).

STACK ET AL. TEACHES GLYCOPEPTIDE COMPOUNDS THAT ARE HOMOLOGS OF VANCOMYCIN ARE EFFECTIVE AGAINST GRAM-POSITIVE BACTERIA AND CONTROL RESISTANT BACTERIAL STRAINS, SUCH AS VANCOMYCIN-RESISTANT-ENTEROCOCCI (VRE). THE REFERENCE ALSO DISCLOSES THE VARIOUS BACTERIAL STRAINS THAT ARE INHIBITED OR DESTROYED BY THE HOMOLOGS (SEE TABLE 5). CLEARLY THE TWO REFERENCES TEACHES VANCOMYCIN AND HOMOLOGS OF VANCOMYCIN ARE EFFECTIVE AGAINST GRAM-POSITIVE BACTERIAL.

THE INSTANT INVENTION DIFFERS FROM THE CITED REFERENCES IN THAT THE CITED REFERENCES DO NOT TEACH THE ADDITION OF A SECOND AGENT EFFECTIVE AGAINST VANCOMYCIN RESISTANT BACTERIA. HOWEVER, THE SECONDARY REFERENCE, FRASER ET

Art Unit: 1614

AL., TEACHES COMPOUNDS DERIVED FROM THE APPLICANTS' COMPOUNDS OF CLAIMS 86 AND 103. THE COMPOUND IS INDOLICIDIN WHICH CAN BE COMBINED WITH GLYCOPEPTIDES, SUCH AS VANCOMYCIN, TO INHIBIT CELL WELL SYNTHESIS, PREVENT PEPTIDOGLYCAN ELONGATION., THUS RE-SENSITIZING VANCOMYCIN. FRASER ET AL. ALSO TEACHES THE INDOLICIDIN COMPOUNDS ARE EFFECTIVE AGAINST VARIOUS GRAM-POSITIVE BACTERIA AS DISCLOSED IN TABLE 5 IN COLUMN 31 AND SO ON.

CLEARLY, THE APPLICANTS ARE MERELY COMBINING KNOWN ANTIBIOTICS AND ANTIBACTERIAL AGENTS INTO A SINGLE COMPOSITION TO INCREASE ITS COMBINED AND ADDITIVE ANTIBACTERIAL EFFECTS IN THE ABSENCE OF EVIDENCE TO THE CONTRARY.

CLAIMS 1-3, 6-22, 25-34, 61, 72-101 AND 103-109 ARE NOT ALLOWED.

ANY INQUIRY CONCERNING THIS COMMUNICATION OR EARLIER COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE EXAMINER SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO EXAMINER K. WEDDINGTON WHOSE TELEPHONE NUMBER IS (703) 308-1 235.

Kevin E. Weddington
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1614

K. WEDDINGTON

DECEMBER 24, 2002